

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Admess: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Adxandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/628,282	07/25/2003	Michael F. Shapiro	089477.00002	4718
23456 Waddey & I	7590 12/22/2006 PATTERSON, P.C.		EXAMINER WILLIAMS, JEFFERY L	
1600 DIVISIO	N STREET, SUITE 500			
NASHVILLE, TN 37203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2137	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE .
3 MC	NTHS	12/22/2006	PAF	PER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No	D	Applicant(s)	
	Office Action Symmony	10/628,282		SHAPIRO, MICH	AEL F.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Jeffery Williams	5	2137	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress
WHIC Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS C 136(a). In no event, ho will apply and will expir e, cause the application	COMMUNICATION wever, may a reply be tim e SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			•		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 E	December 2003.	•		
2a)□	•	s action is non-fi	nal.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa			secution as to the	e merits is
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under	•	• •		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application	۱.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from conside	eration.		
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requir	ement.		
Applicati	ion Papers				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on 12/10/03 is/are: a)	accepted or b)	objected to by th	e Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be hel	d in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	•
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if t	he drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note th	e attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	n priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	•			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been red	ceived.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been red	ceived in Application	on No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents I	nave been receive	d in this National	Stage ·
	application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.	2(a)).		
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified	copies not receive	d.	
		•	•		
Attachmen	t(s)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T	Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	- 7 ∟	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te	
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) <u>[</u> 6) [Notice of Informal P Other:	atent Application	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-, ∟	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Application/Control Number: 10/628,282 Page 2

Art Unit: 2137

1	DETAILED ACTION
2	
3	Claims 1 – 23.
4 5	Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103
6.	
7	The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
8	obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
9 10 11 12 13	(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
15	Claims 1 – 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable
16	over Maes et al. (Maes), "Portable Information and Transaction Processing
17	System and Method Utilizing Biometric Authorization and Digital Certificate
18	Security", U.S. Patent 6,016,476 in view of Shore, U.S. Patent Publication
19	2003/0149662 A1.
20	
21	Regarding claim 1, Maes discloses:
22	a magnetic strip that is readable by a standard swipe card reader (Abstract; 4:12
23	18; 6:28-55);
24	input communication means for receiving a request for an authentication signal
25	from a remote terminal (fig. 1:50,54,46,42,44);

Art Unit: 2137

Page 3

1	Maes does not disclose that the portable computing device comprises a power
2	supply.

However, it was well known to those of ordinary skill in the art to comprise power supplies within portable computing devices (such as PDA's). For example, Shore discloses that a PDA authentication device comprises a power supply (fig. 1g:8a) so as to function in a practical manner.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply practical prior art techniques, such as taught by Shore, within the PDA of Maes. This would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by the need for system functionality to provide a form of power.

a biometric sensor for detecting biometric information and producing a sensed biometric profile in a response to a received request for an authentication signal (Maes, fig. 1:18,40);

a memory for storing a biometric profile corresponding to an individual (Maes, fig. 1:14, 26);

a processor for comparing the sensed biometric profile with the stored biometric profile and producing an authentication signal (Maes, fig. 1:12);

and output communication means for communicating the authentication signal to the remote terminal (Maes, fig. 1:26, 50,54,46,42,44).

Regarding claim 2, the combination discloses:

Art Unit: 2137

1	wherein the output communication means further comprises an infrared
2	communication port (Maes, fig. 1:50,54,46,42,44).
3	
4	Regarding claim 3, the combination discloses:
5	a proximity antenna for sending messages to, and receiving messages from,
6	another proximity antenna (Maes, fig. 1:50,54,46,42,44).
. 7	
8	Regarding claim 4, the combination discloses:
9	a microphone for receiving audible signals and voice recognition software for
10	comparing said audible signals and with stored individual voice profiles (Maes, fig.
11	1:18,16, 22; 4:45-64).
12	
13	Regarding claim 5, the combination discloses:
14	a keyboard that allows a user to enter text into the device (Maes, 5:36-53).
15	
16	Regarding claim 6, the combination discloses:
17	a speaker that allows the processor to produce voice responses (Maes, 5:36-53).
18	
19	Regarding claim 7, the combination discloses:
20	magnetic strip writing means that allow the processor to alter information
21	contained on the magnetic strip (Maes, fig. 1:30).
22	

Application/Control Number: 10/628,282 Page 5

Art Unit: 2137

1	Regarding claim 8, the combination discloses:
_ 2	wherein said memory contains certification information that can be examined by
3	a remote terminal to determine if the device corresponds to an authorized account
4	(Maes, Abstract).
5	
6	Regarding claim 9, the combination discloses:
7	wherein the biometric sensor further comprises a fingerprint detector and the
8	processor and memory further comprise fingerprint recognition software for determining
9	if a sensed fingerprint matches a stored biometric profile (Maes, 5:55-67).
10	
11	Regarding claim 10, the combination discloses:
12	wherein the portable device has a protrusion that is adapted to engage a swipe
13	card reader (Maes, fig. 1:26).
14	
15	Regarding claim 11, it is rejected, at least, for the same reasons as claim 1, and
16	furthermore because the combination discloses:
17	a card swipe interface that allows stored data to be communicated to a magnetic
18	card reader (Maes, fig. 1:26);
19	a data input that allows said electronic data assistant to receive personal
20	identifying data from a remote source (Maes, fig. 1:50,54,46,42,44);
21	a memory for storing personal identification information related to a particular
22	individual (Maes, fig. 1:14, 26);

Art Unit: 2137

a processor for comparing said personal identifying data from said remote source
to said stored personal identification information and producing an authentication signal
based upon said comparison (Maes, fig. 1:12);

and a data output for communicating said authentication signal to a remote source (Maes, fig. 1:26, 50,54,46,42,44).

7.

Regarding claims 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, and 19, they are rejected, at least, for the same reasons as the above rejected claims.

Regarding claim 14, Maes does not explicitly state that memory within the PDA authentication device comprises *read only* memory and *random access* memory.

However, it was well known to those of ordinary skill in the art for the memory of PDA authentication devices to comprise both *read only* and *random access* memory. For example, Shore discloses that a PDA authentication device comprises both *read only* and *random access* memory (Abstract, fig. 1g:3,2).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply known prior art techniques, such as that of Shore, within the PDA of Maes. This would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by the need for system functionality and security to provide memory that would enable integral device software to be protected within unalterable memory space and dynamic data to be read/written from alterable memory space.

Art Unit: 2137

Claims 20 – 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable 1 over Wang, "Portable Electronic Authorization Devices and Methods Therfor", 2 3 U.S. Patent 5,917,913 in view of Maes et al. (Maes), "Portable Information and 4 Transaction Processing System and Method Utilizing Biometric Authorization and 5 Digital Certificate Security", U.S. Patent 6,016,476. 6 7 Regarding claim 20, Wang discloses: 8 detecting a communication center's request for an identification (4:8-30); 9 prompting an individual to respond to said request for an identification by 10 providing biometric information (11:5-13); receiving said biometric information from said user (11:5-13); 11 12 Wang states that the biometric information from the user is required to allow the 13 request for identification information to be satisfied. Wang, however, does not explicitly 14 state *processing* the received biometric information. 15 However, processing the biometric information entered by the user would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. Maes shows that when a user enters 16 17 biometric information into a biometric authentication device, the device should process 18 such information in order for the device to make practical application of the entered 19 biometric information [i.e. verify the user](10:35-65). 20 It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ the 21 processing of received biometric information as shown by Maes within the system of 22 Wang. This would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would

Art Unit: 2137

·

2 perform steps necessary to do so.

processing said biometric information to determine if said biometric information

have been motivated by the need for security to verify users, and thus practically

Page 8

4 corresponds to a biometric profile (Wang, 11:5-13);

5 producing an authentication signal; and communicating said authentication

signal to said communication center in response to receiving said request for an

identification (Wang, 11:24-31; 4:41-55).

8

9

10

6

7

1

3

Regarding claim 21, the combination discloses:

wherein the step of receiving biometric information from said user further

comprises receiving a representation of said user's fingerprint (Wang, claim 26).

12

13

11

Regarding claim 22, the combination discloses:

wherein the step of receiving biometric information from said user further

comprises receiving a voice sample from said user (Wang, claim 26).

16

17

19

20

15

Regarding claim 23, the combination discloses:

wherein the step of processing said biometric information to determine if said

biometric information corresponds to a biometric profile further comprises comparing the

biometric information to a biometric profile stored on a device carried by the individual

21 (Wang, 1:53-61; 5:51-6:13; fig. 3a:302; 11:5-13; Maes 10:35-65).

22

Art Unit: 2137

1 Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Page 9

See Notice of References Cited.

A shortened statutory period for reply is set to expire 3 months (not less than 90 days) from the mailing date of this communication.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffery Williams whose telephone number is (571) 272-7965. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel Moise can be reached on (571) 272-3865. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 2137

1 Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Page 10

- 2 Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for
- 3 published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.
- 4 Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.
- 5 For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should
- 6 you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic
- 7 Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

8

9

10 J. Williams

11 AU: 2137

12 Jv

EMMANUEL L. MOISE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER